UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O., Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/543,131	07/22/2005	Timothy G Hornberger	1335.258	2628
23598 BOYLE FRED	EXAM	EXAMINER		
840 North Plan	kinton Avenue	•	KING, ANITA M	
MILWAUKEE	s, WI 53203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3632	
•			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/28/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@boylefred.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/543,131	HORNBERGER, TI	MOTHY G		
Office Action	on Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Anita M. King	3632			
The MAILING DA	ATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	lress		
A SHORTENED STATE WHICHEVER IS LONG - Extensions of time may be ave after SIX (6) MONTHS from th - If NO period for reply is specifications - Failure to reply within the set of	UTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY SER, FROM THE MAILING DA ailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 in mailing date of this communication. ied above, the maximum statutory period wor extended period for reply will, by statute, are later than three months after the mailing t. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI: 16(a). In no event, however, may a rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become Af	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this con BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to co	ommunication(s) filed on <u>10 Se</u>	eptember 2007				
2a) ☐ This action is FIN		action is non-final.				
3) Since this applica	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accorda	ance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) is 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-1</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) is	<u>14,16,17 and 20</u> is/are rejected	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers		·				
10) The drawing(s) file Applicant may not a Replacement draw	is objected to by the Examinered on 10 September 2007 is/a request that any objection to the cing sheet(s) including the correction is objected to by the Ex	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square drawing(s) be held in abeyar on is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFF	₹ 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119					
12) Acknowledgment a) All b) Some 1. Certified co 2. Certified co 3. Copies of t application	is made of a claim for foreign	s have been received. s have been received in A ity documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National S	itage [*]		
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited			Summary (PTO-413)			
Notice of Draftsperson's Pa Information Disclosure State Paper No(s)/Mail Date	tent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ement(s) (PTO/SB/08) —·	- Control of the Cont	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 			

This is the second office action for application number 10/543,131, Pound-In Glide for an Article of Furniture, filed on July 22, 2005.

Drawings

The drawings were received on September 10, 2007. These drawings are partially acceptable.

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "120" has been used to designate both a slot in Fig. 4 and a tab in Fig. 6. Reference number "120" does not appear to be referring to a tab in Fig. 4 but rather a slot in body 40 as indicated by claim 7. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to because the first and second slots, cited in the claimed subject matter are not identified in the drawings by a reference character.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement

10/543,131 Art Unit: 3632

drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: one or more slots cited in claim 7, lines 15-16 and in claim 20, lines 19-20; and a first slot and second slot cited in claim 14 in line 20.

Claim Objections

Claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 10, and 20 are objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 1, lines 14 and 20-21, "the article of furniture" should be changed

10/543,131 Art Unit: 3632

to --the furniture support member--; in claim 2, "passage" in line 5 should be changed to --passages--; in claim 6, "an aligned" should be changed to --alignment with--; in claim 7, line 10, "drive" should be deleted; in claim 10, line 6, --the-- or --said-- should be inserted before "lower"; and in claim 20, line 15, "collapsed" should be deleted.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-8, 10-14, 16, 17, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the edge of the opening" in lines 14 and 20. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Note the original recitation is cited as "an opening defined by an edge" this is not the same limitation as "the edge of the opening," It appears based on the drawings that the original recitation should be cited as --an opening having an edge--, since the boss sections actually engage the edge of the opening and since the lower portion of the furniture support member is arcuate and rounded objects typically do not define an edge on the circumferential surface.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the passage of the body" bridging lines 17 and 18.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The original recitation is cited as "a plurality of spaced apart collapsible mounting boss sections that define and axial passage therebetween," in lines 11-12 of the claim. Note the

10/543,131

Art Unit: 3632

specification and the drawings cite that the body has a passage (90) and the boss sections have a passage (80) and even though the passages are coaxial, the passages are indicated as being separate elements of the glide.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the body member" in line 20. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 7 recites the limitations "the passage of the body" in line 8, "the edge of the opening" in line 11, and "the body member" in line 11. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Claim 10 recites the limitation "the edge of the opening" in line 20. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 14 recites the limitations "the body" in lines 9, 12, 13, 14-15, 20, and 21, and "the spaces" in line 16. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Claim 16 recites the limitation "the passage defined by the body and the boss sections" bridging lines 5-6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 20 recites the limitations "the one or more tubular legs" in line 4, "the mounting boss sections" in line 14 (original recitation cited as a plurality of spaced apart boss sections) and "the edge of the opening" in line 16. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

10/543,131 Art Unit: 3632

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1, 7, 10, 14, and 20 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claims 2-6, 8, 11-13, 16, and 17 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anita M. King whose telephone number is (571) 272-6817. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Glessner can be reached on (571) 272-6843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Anita M. King
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3632

November 15, 2007